

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/865,773	05/25/2001	Aruna Rohra Suda	103251.58983US	5757
23911 7590 10/25/2007 CROWELL & MORING LLP INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP P.O. BOX 14300 WASHINGTON, DC 20044-4300			EXAMINER	
			PULLIAM, CHRISTY ANN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2165	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/25/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/865.773 SUDA ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit Christyann Pulliam 2165 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Stephen W. Palan (Applicant's Attorney). (1) Christyann Pulliam. (2) Neveen Abel-Jalil. Date of Interview: 23 October 2007. Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c)⊠ Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 1. Identification of prior art discussed: Barrerra and Cole. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) $\times$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The nonstatutory obviousness type double patenting rejection was discussed. The Examiner explained the 101 rejection. The independent claims were discussed in comparison to the references. The data acquisition means portion of the claim was focused on. Applicant's attorney explained the invention. The Examiner presented the interpretation used to reject the claims. allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Interview Summary

No. 20071023